October 26, 2004

8EAQ-1004-15930\$

By Hand Delivery

Document Processing Center (7407) Office of Pollution, Prevention and Toxics U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W. Washington, DC 20460

Attention: Section 8(e) Coordinator

Re: TSCA Section 8(e) Submissions

Dear Sir/Madam:

3M Company ("3M") requests that EPA place the attached studies in the TSCA Section 8(e) docket. We have included a master index for these studies identifying the study title, test substance and CAS number. A Confidential Business Information (CBI) version of this index and the studies also is being submitted today pursuant to EPA procedures. 3M has not provided CBI substantiation with this submission, but would be willing to do so at the Agency's request.

3M has concluded that data in these studies may not be, strictly speaking, "corroborative" of previously reported or published information as defined in EPA's reporting guidance or otherwise potentially may warrant 8(e) submission based on EPA's reporting guidance.

3M appreciates EPA's attention to this matter. Please contact the undersigned if you have any questions or require further information regarding this submission.

Very truly yours,

Katherine E. Reed (9.4. ) Staff Vice President

Environmental Technology and Safety Services

Services

(651) 778-4331

kereed@mmm.com



Cargo Aircraft Only

Credit Card Auth

**Total Charges** 

water (7732-18-5); propylene-tetrafluoroethylene polymer (27029-05-6); tert-butyl alcohol (75-65-0)	water; propylene-tetrafluoroethylene polymer; tert-butyl alcohol	Static Acute Toxicity of [ ] to the Daphnid, Daphnia magna
		Static Acute Toxicity of [ ] to the Fathead Minnow, <i>Pimephales promelas</i>
	]	Daphnid, Daphnia magna
CAS 29385-43-1	Tolyltriazole	comutu
CAS 29385-43-1		) to the
CAS 29590-42-9	ylate Monomer	n Acrylate to
CAS Information not provided to 3M by manufacturer	Nalclear 7177 wastewater treatment acrylamide/acrylate polymer - Chemical composition not provided to 3M by manufacturer	alclear ts
CAS 18993-92-1	Methyl isoamyl acrylate	
CAS 13048-33-4	1,6 Hexanediol diacrylate	Toxicity of 1,6 - Hexanediol Diacrylate to Daphnia magna
MSDS provided by manufacturer states product is "not hazardous" and not "considered to be a carcinogen"	BETZ 1138: Non-3M Product - Chemical composition not provided to 3M by manufacturer	Ceriodaphnia dubia Survival and Reproduction exposed to Opequon Creek Water Spiked with Betz 1138 Polymer (November 4, 1987 sample) for seven days under static renewal conditions
MSDS provided by manufacturer states product is "not hazardous" and not "considered to be a carcinogen"	BETZ 1110: Non-3M Product - Chemical composition not provided to 3M by manufacturer	Ceriodaphnia dubia Survival and Reproduction exposed to Opequon Creek Water Spiked with BETZ 1110 Polymer (November 4, 1987 sample) for seven days under static renewal conditions
		Growth
©AS Information	Substance Information	Plant Toxicity Comparison Young Seedling

CAS 1643-20-5	Lauryidimethylamineoxide (C.	oxicity to Microtox Test
4063-63-5)		.L
CAS /44/-41-8	chloride and Octane sulfanyl fluoride	and
		Dete
CAS 7447-41-8	Lithium Chloride C	Lithium: Fish, Acute toxicity Tests
CAS 1763-23-1	Perfluorooctane sulfonate	An Early Life-Stage Toxicity Test With the Fathead Minnow ( <i>Primephales promelas</i> )
CAS 7447-41-8		L
CAS 1763-23-1	sultonate	With the Saltwater Mysid (Mysidopsis bahia)
Cocamidopropyl betaine (CAS 70851-07-9); Coco/Olearnidopropyl Betaine (CAS 61789-40-0)	nidopropyl betaine = Amides, coco, N-(3-lkylation products with chloroacetic acid, and Inerts); Mirataine COB (30% aine = 1-Propanaminium, 3-amino-N-sthyl-, N-coco acyl derivs., inner salt)	
CAS = 112-00-5		OECD Activated Sludge Respiration Inhibition Test Results
N-methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamido ethanol (CAS 25268-77-3); N-methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamidethyl acrylate (CAS 24448-09-7)	Octanol/Water) of T-5896 by High perfluorooctane sulfonamide ethanol; N-methyl Performance Liquid Chromatography  (HPLC)	Determination of the Partition Coefficient (N. Octanol/Water) of T-5896 by High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)
		Final Report (Microtox)
		mysid, Mysidopsis bahia
CAS 29590-42-9	Isooctyl Acrylate Monomer	Isooctyl Acrylate: Daphnia sp . Reproduction Test
CAS 29590-42-9	Isooctyl Acrylate Monomer	Isooctyl Acrylate: Alga, Growth Inhibition Test.
CAS 29590-42-9	Isooctyl Acrylate Monomer	Isooctyl Acrylate: Daphnia sp. Acute Immobilization Test
CAS 29590-42-9	Isooctyl Acrylate Monomer	Isooctyl acrylate: Fish, Acute Toxicity Test
CAS Information	Substance/afocyation	Title

Title  Ecotoxicological Testing of CoCl2.6H2O as Cobalt (as Co2+ ion) (CoCl2.6H2O) Co2+ ion (Seed Germination and Root Elongation)
Substance Information Cobalt (as Co2+ ion) (CoCi2.6H2O)
CAS 7791-13-1



### TECHNICAL REPORT SUMMARY

Form 6747-11-G



### TO: PATENT & TECHNICAL COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES - 201-2C-12

(Important – If report is printed on both sides of paper, send two copies to P&TCS). Report Summary must be typewritten. Guidelines on reverse side.

Guidelines on reverse side		
Division		Dept. Number
Environmental	Laboratory (EE & PC)	0535
Project		Project Number
	micals - Light Water Vegetation Studies	9970013000
Report Title		Report Number
Plant Growth E	ffects of FC-600	$\sim$ 01
J0		Period Covered or Date
r		7/30/86
Author(s) /		Employee Number(s)
	<u> </u>	
Notebook Reference		No. of Pages Including Coversheet
1		16
SECURITY Open Re	port & Summary Closed Report—Open Summary 3M CHEMICAL Check box cal Registry Confidential (Special Authorization)	if new chemicals are reported. Use Chemi- y Form 6092 to report all new substances.
Compa		Y TOTAL DUST TO TEND TEND SUBSTERIORS.
KEYWORDS: Lab Code	CURRENT OBJECTIVE: The objective of these studies was to evaluate the control of	aluate the
Lau Code	effects of on emergence and early	
	plant species and to determine the persis	
	residual toxicity to one plant species.	
Other Keywords		
	REPORT ABSTRACT: This abstract information is distributed by the Patent & T	echnical Communications Services to star
	3M'ers to Company R&D. It is Company confidential material.	Common Co
	This report describes phytotoxicity stud	ies conducted on
	Light Water Type V. These bioass	ays used six
*	terrestrial vascular plant species. Plan	
	germination and root elongation were eva-	luated using the
	hydroponic, clear pouch technique. Early	plant growth
	effects were evaluated using seedlings po	otted in soil.
4 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	These plant growth assays measured inhib:	
	length and shoot dry weight. In a separa	ite assay, the
	residual effects ofon soybean ger	mination and
	growth was evaluated by a progressive ser	les of
	plantings on increasingly aged and leached treated soil.	ر بالاستان المالية الم
	tteated soil.	
<del>-</del>		
	CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION	1

CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION SUBJECT TO PROTECTION UNDER THE TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL ACT AND OTHER LAWS HAS BEEN REDACTED FROM THIS DOCUMENT

3M CONFIDENTIAL

Information Heisen Initials: 44 9-3 86 Plant Growth Effects Page 2 July 30, 1986

### Conclusions

- 1. Light Water ATC/AFFF inhibited plant emergence and early seedling growth at its usage concentration (6% by volume).
- An order of magnitude dilution below the usage concentration reduced the inhibition of most measured parameters to less than 20%.
- Furthermore, root elongation was affected at dilutions two orders of magnitude below the usage concentration.
- 4. Residual effects on early soybean growth from applied to soil decreased with time and leaching. Aging treated soil for 4 weeks with 3 weekly 2 inch water washings reduced the initial inhibition of plant growth from 100% (complete inhibition) to 20% compared to controls.

### Introduction

### Materials and Methods

### Experimental Procedures:

The plant growth effects studies were conducted in accordance with OECD Guideline #208 (1). This protocol has been codified as part of the Toxic Substances Control Act Test Guidelines (2,3). This test procedure is also similar to that used by EEC countries (4). The test procedure for evaluating residual effects of were developed by the 3M Environmental Laboratory.

### Test Substance:

The test substance was Type V, Light Water Brand ATC/AFFF which was provided by the Industrial Chemical Products Division.

Plant Growth Effects Page 3 July 30, 1986

### Nutrient Solution Medium:

Half-strength modified Hoagland nutrient solution was used as specified in the referenced procedures.

### Plant Species:

This testing utilized six plant species including three monocotyledons: sweet corn (Zea mays), oat (Avena sativa), and perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne); and three dicotyledons: soybean (Glycine max), cucumber (Cucumis sativus), and tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum).

### Test Concentrations:

Concentrations used in testing were 0.06, 0.6, 1.5, 3.0, 6.0%

[ ] by vol. The standard usage concentrations for [ ] are 3 and 6%.

### Controls:

Blank controls differed only in that they contained no test substance. In these controls equal volumes of water replaced the

### Methods of Chemical Application:

In the early plant growth assays, plants were exposed by two methods: 1) single application to soil (root exposure); and 2) single application to foliage (foliar exposure). In the test for residual effects, solutions containing 6% were applied to soil at various lengths of time prior to seed planting.

Plants exposed by foliar application were sprayed with solutions in deionized water. The average amount of deionized water solution that the foliage could hold before dripping to the soil was determined by first misting the controls. The plant foliage was found to hold about 25 ml per pot. This volume of solution was misted on the plants in all pots of foliar exposed plants. Excess amounts of spray dripped onto the soil. These pots also received 50 ml of the nutrient solution, without the test chemical, applied through subirrigation.

Plant Growth Effects Page 4 July 30, 1986

In the residual effects experiment, the planting of 7-8 soybean seeds per pot was delayed for 4, 2, 1, or 0 weeks following application of the 6% solution to the soil. The treated pots contained 200 g of soil, and the treatment consisted of applying 1/2 inch of 6% (V/V in deionized water) to the soil surface. Treated soil was washed weekly with 2 inches (224 ml) of deionized water starting one week after application of the sand ending 1 week prior to seed planting. Following planting, the soil was treated with 1/2 inch of standard nutrient solution or, in the case of the 0 week delay plants, with 1/2 inch (56 ml) of a 6% standard nutrient solution. Two weeks following planting, each pot was thinned leaving the 5 most uniform seedlings. After two additional weeks of growth, these 5 remaining seedlings were compared to controls to evaluate growth inhibition in terms of shoot length.

### Effects Measured:

The 10-day plant emergence assay measured inhibition of seed germination and root elongation.

The 14-day early plant growth assay measured inhibition of shoot length and inhibition of shoot dry weight (oven-dried at 70°C). Visible effects and abnormalities, e.g., chlorosis and necrosis, were noted and photographed.

The residual effect assay measured inhibition of seed germination in a soil matrix and inhibition of shoot length in the resulting seedlings. The shoot length measurements were made 4 weeks after seedling.

### Soil Matrix Properties:

The early plant growth assay and residual effects assay used 2-mm sieved sandy loam soil (11% clay, 19% silt, and 70% sand). The soil pH in water was 7.0, and the soluble salts content, expressed as electrical conductance, was 2.00 mmhos/cm<sup>2</sup>.

### Growth Conditions:

Plant emergence assays were conducted in the dark. Early plant growth and residual effect assays were conducted with a 16-hr. photoperiod, a temperature of 23+1°C, and a ambient relative humidity of 60%.

### Calculations:

The  $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$  values reported in the attached table were based on nominal concentrations in the test media at the beginning of the bioassay.

Plant Growth Effects Page 5 July 30, 1986

The concentrations tested and the corresponding response data (percent inhibition) were averages of two replications per test concentration, with 5 seeds or seedlings per replicate. These data were used to calculate the median effective concentrations, "EC<sub>50</sub>'s." The 95% confidence limits for the EC<sub>50</sub> values were also calculated.

### Acceptability of Tests:

These laboratory phytotoxicity tests met acceptability criteria provided in the referenced test guidelines: A minimum of 80% of the control seeds germinated, and a minimum of 80% of the control group produced healthy seedlings throughout the test.

### Results and Discussion

The inhibition data obtained during the 10-day plant emergence assay are summarized in Tables 1-2. Similarly, the values obtained from the early plant growth assay are listed in Tables 3-4. The residual effects data obtained with soybean are summarized in Table 5. All the raw data generated from these studies are archived in the Environmental Laboratory test facility, Bldg. 2-3E, St. Paul.

1. Plant Emergence Assay (Tables 1 and 2).

The 10-day plant emergence assay showed that exposure to , at its typical use strength (6%, V/V), caused nearly complete inhibition of plant root elongation in all six species tested. Two species, oat and ryegrass, showed complete inhibition of germination at this concentration. These same species were the only two showing inhibition of germination at concentrations below 3% V/V. Measurable inhibition of root elongation was common to all six plant species tested and occurred at all test concentrations. The concentration one order of magnitude below the usage concentration, 0.6%, only inhibited seed germination in ryegrass (by 20%) but reduced root elongation in all test species by 50% or more.

2. Early Plant Growth Assay (Tables 3 and 4).

The 14-day early plant growth assay showed that dat its typical usage strength (6%, V/V), affected the early stages of plant growth and development. The two plant growth effects measured, shoot length and shoot dry weight were in good agreement. Reduction in plant growth, as determined with these growth measurements, was notably higher for the same concentration of chemical applied to the soil matrix (root exposure) as opposed to application to leaves (foliar exposure). However, twice as much chemical was applied to the soil, and in comparisons corrected for the total amount of added, the differences between the effects of soil and foliar application are small.

Plant Growth Effects Page 6 July 30, 1986

Adverse effects on the above ground portion of the plants due to exposure through the soil is indicative of transport to the above ground portion of the plant. With the exception of corn, effects due to this apparent chemical uptake and vascular translocation were equally notable in both groups of plants, the monocotyledons and the dicotyledons. The early plant growth assay showed that a test concentration one order of magnitude below the usage concentration, 0.6%, inhibited shoot length by less than 20% and shoot weight by less than 35%.

Morphorological abnormalities, e.g., chlorosis and necrosis, were noted (relative to the control group) during preliminary examinations of the shoot growth and the root system of seedlings. These observations were most notable for the plants exposed to the highest test concentration (see Figures 1-6).

3. Residual Effect Assay (Table 5 and Figures 7-10).

In a separate preemergence experiment, the residual effect of 1 to soybean growth, at its typical usage strength (6%, V/V), was clearly identified. Planting soybean seeds immediately or 1 week after 1 application resulted in complete inhibition of shoot growth. Delaying planting for two weeks combined with 2-inch water washings one week following planting, resulted in a significant reduction in inhibition. In these 2-week delayed plantings, shoot length increased to approximately 50% of control shoot length. A delay period of four weeks with three weekly 2-inch washings reduced inhibition of early plant growth again. In this planting, treated pots showed only a 16% inhibition compared to controls. Effects on soybean root nodulation were not observed because experiments were terminated prior to nodule formation.

This premergence study showed that \_\_\_\_\_\_ can cause residual effects in soil, but that leaching and/or degradation of the chemical in soil will reduce these residual effects. This residual effect study did not differentiate between leaching or degradation as the cause of the soil recovery.

### References

- Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD). 1984. Terrestrial Plants Growth Test #208, Adopted April, 1984. OECD, Paris, France.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S.EPA). 1982. Environmental Effects Test Guidelines, EG12 - Seed Germination/Root Elongation Toxicity Test; EG13 - Early Seedling Growth Toxicity Test. Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances. U.S.EPA, Washington, D.C., EPA 560/6-82-002.

Plant Growth Effects Page 7 July 30, 1986

- 3. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S.EPA). 1975. Test Methods for Assessing the Effects of Chemicals on Plants. Office of Toxic Substances. U.S.EPA, Washington, D.C., EPA 560/5-75-008.
- Health and Safety Commission. 1982. Approved Code of Practice, Methods for the Determination of Ecotoxicity - Test No. 9. H. M. Stationary Office, London, England.

TABLE 1. Effects of Type V on Six Plant Species 10-Day Plant Emergence Assay Seed Germination, Percent Inhibition(1)

Test Concentration		Monocotyledons	4	Plant Species	Dicotule de la constant	9 44
(% by vol.) (2)	Corn	Oat	Ryegrass	Soybean	Cucumber	Tomato
90.0	0	0	10	0	0	•
9.0	0	0	20	0	0	0
1.5	0	10	40	0	0	.0
<b>9.</b>	0	09	08	25	0	40
6.0	10	100	100	ស	10	75
EC50, % by vol. (95% C.L.)	9<	2.6 (2.4-2.8)	2.6 (2.4-2.8) (1.6-2.0)	5.2 (4.7-6.1	9< (	4.2 (3.5-5.0)

(1) Relative to the control group.

Data are averages of two replications per test concentration, using five seeds per replicate. (3)

CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION SUBJECT TO PROTECTION UNDER THE TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL ACT AND OTHER LAWS HAS BEEN REDACTED FROM THIS PAGE

TABLE 2. Effects of JType V on Six Plant Species 10-Day Plant Emergence Assay (1)
Root Elongation, Percent Reduction (1)

			Plant Species	pecies		
Test Concentration		Monocotyledons			Dicotyledons	38
(% by Vol.) (2)	Corn	Oat	Ryegrass	Soybean	Cucumber	Tomato
90.0	59	<b>58</b>	20	17	33	18
9.0	<b>2</b>	78	57	ស	61	75
1.5	63	06	6	<b>&amp;</b>	8 23	e 83
3.0	68	76	100	96	16	96
0.9	96	100	100	100	100	100
EC50, % by vol.	0.8 (0.6-1.0)	0.16 0.26 (0.11-0.21) (.1350)	0.26	0.31	0.31 0.20 0.25 (0.25 (0.20-0.30) (0.19-0.33)	0.25

(1) Relative to the control group.

Data are averages of two replications per test concentration, using five seeds per replicate. (2)

TABLE 3. Effects of [ ] Type V on Six Plant Species 14-Day Early Plant Growth Assay1)
Shoot Length, Percent Inhibition(1)

					coty]	Monocotyledons		Plant Species		Dico	Dicotvledone	8 0	
Test (8	Test Concentration (% by Vol.)	RE (§	E(3) FE(3)	Oat RE B	t E	Ryegrass RE FE	ក្នុងនទ PE	Soybean RE FE	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Cucu	Cucumber RE FE	Tomato RE F	to FE
	9.0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	<b>v</b>
	1.5	m	0	0	0	· • • •	11	<b>o</b> n	20	<b>&amp;</b>	10	59	9
	3.0	ហ	0	20	0	0	15	31	18	e E	20	45	16
	6.0	53	7	100	on.	E	13	100 31	31	100	42	82	30
EC 9580	EC <sub>50</sub> , % by vol.	9<	9<	3.5 (3.4	, † (S	) ^ •	9	3.2 (2.4	9,	3.2 (2.5	9,	3.2 (2.0	9,

(1) Relative to the control group.

Data are averages of two replications per test concentration, using five 2-week old seedlings per replicate. 3

(3) Method of chemical application: (RE) Root Exposure, applied to soil with water. (FE) Foliar Exposure, foliar spray.

TABLE 4. Effects of JType V on Six Plant Species 14-Day Early Plant Growth Assay (2) Shoot Weight Percent Inhibition (2)

						i	Plant	Species					
			,	Mono	cotyl	Monocotyledons				Dico	Dicotyledons	S	
Test (&	Test Concentration (% by Vol.)	RE(Forn	Prn FE(4)	RE	Oat FE	Ryegrass RE FE	FE	Soybean RE	an FE	Cucur	Cucumber RE FE	Tomato RE F	to FE
	9•0	က	12	32	0	19	0	0	7	20	0	27	16
	u 	<u>,</u>	5	, v	• <b>c</b>	<u> </u>		u ur	· Ç	3 6	; • c	, c	
	) C	}	v 4	3 5	> c	, o	<b>,</b>	ה מ מ	> C	י ער י ע	· ·	ם ה	, o
	) ) ) V	9 0	o u	† 6	> <	<u> </u>	> 6	, c			> [	9 9	C 3
ر		<b>5</b> 4	ct 4	007	> \ \	*	£ 2	100	30 07	100	, n	100	30
(950)	(95% C.L.)	?	2	Ĩ.	<b>2</b>	(3.1	<b>?</b>	(1.5-4.0)	(2.1-4.1)	(2.0-4.3)	(5.3-6.1)	(1:1)	- (4.3- 6.9)

(1) Based on shoot dry weight (oven-dried at 70±2°C).

(2) Relative to the control group.

Data are averages of two replications per test concentration, using five 2-week old seedlings per replicate. (3)

(4) Method of chemical application: (RE) Root Exposure, applied to soil with water. (FE) Foliar Exposure, foliar spray.

Residual Effects of [ ] Type V (6% by Vol.) to Soybean 14-Day Early Plant Growth Assay TABLE 5.

A 4 Weeks  B 2 Weeks  C 1 Week		
4 7 1	red Figuring & Innibition	% Inhibition(3)
	4 Weeks 7	16
C 1 Week	2 Weeks 30	5.4
	1 Week 70	100
D (seed planting immediately fol	0 (seed planting immediately followed chemical application)	100

Data are averages of three replications per test set, seed germination measurements used 7-8 seeds per replicate. Shoot length inhibition measurements were done after thinning to 5 soubean seedlings per replicate. Some pots contained less than 5 Some pots contained less than seedlings due to low germination. (T)

Weekly 2 inch water washings were provided starting one week after treating and ending 1 week prior to seed planting. (3)

(3) Relative to the control group.